



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

VOLUME TWO: INDUSTRIAL AND PERSONALITY AND PUBLICITY RIGHTS

Spring 1990

Professor Rosemary J. Coombe*

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the
Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.

*With the assistance of Stephen J. Tenai

LAW LIBRARY

FEB 12 1990

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW


VOLUME TWO: INDUSTRIAL AND
PERSONALITY AND PUBLICITY RIGHTS.

Spring 1990

Professor Rosemary J. Coombe*

These materials are solely for the classroom use of students at the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.

*With the assistance of Stephen J. Tenai



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/intellectualprop02coom>

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

VOLUME TWO: INDUSTRIAL DESIGN, AND PERSONALITY AND PUBLICITY RIGHTS,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. INDUSTRIAL DESIGN

A. PROPER SUBJECT MATTER FOR INDUSTRIAL DESIGN

Morrow, "Designs Applied to Useful Articles: Are They Protectable?" (1989)	1
Hayhurst, "Industrial Designs" (1979)	5
<u>Bayliner Marine Corp. v. Doral Boats Ltd.</u>	11
<u>Clatworthy & Sons Ltd. v. Dale Display Fixtures Ltd.</u>	25
<u>Cimon Ltd. v. Bench Made Furniture</u>	29
<u>Mainetti S.P.A. v. E.R.A. Display Co. Ltd.</u>	42
<u>Carr-Harris Products Ltd. v. Reliance Products Ltd. (1969)</u>	45

B. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN COPYRIGHT AND INDUSTRIAL DESIGN

I REVERSE ENGINEERING

Richard, "Reverse Engineering of Functional Objects" (1989)	48
---	----

II CASE LAW

<u>Bayliner Marine Corp. v. Doral Boats</u>	55
<u>Doral Boats Ltd. v. Bayliner Marine Corporation</u>	62
<u>Spiro-Flex Industries Ltd. v. Progressive Sealing Inc.</u>	69

III STATUTORY AMENDMENTS

Section 64 & 64.1	77
Industrial Design Rules -- Rule 11	78

C. ORIGINALITY

<u>Clatworthy & Sons Limited v. Dale Display Fixtures Limited</u>	80
<u>Carr-Harris Products Ltd. v. Reliance Products Ltd.</u>	85
<u>Bata Industries Ltd. v. Warrington Inc.</u>	89
<u>Re L.B. Plastics Ltd. Appl'n for Industrial Design</u>	92

D. REGISTRATION AND MARKING

Statutory Provisions - s. 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14	93
<u>Ribbons (Montreal) Ltd. v. Belding Corticelli Ltd.</u>	94
<u>Algonquin Mercantile Corp v. Dart</u>	98
<u>Mainetti SPA</u>	100

E. TERM OF RIGHTS

Statutory Provisions - s. 10(1) & (2)	102
--	-----

F. ASSIGNMENT & LICENCE

Statutory Provisions - s. 13(1) & (2)	102
--	-----

G. INFRINGEMENT

Statutory Provisions - s. 11 & 18	103
<u>R v. Premier Cutlery Ltd.</u>	103
<u>Algonquin Mercantile Corp. v. Dart Industries Canada</u>	110
<u>Mainetti SPA</u>	116

3. PERSONALITY AND PUBLICITY RIGHTS

A. AMERICAN ORIGINS OF RIGHT OF PUBLICITY

Nimmer, "The Right of Publicity" (1954)	120
<u>Haelan Laboratories, Inc. v. Topps Chewing Gum, Inc.</u>	133
<u>Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broadcasting Co.</u>	135
<u>Lugosi v. Universal Pictures</u>	146
<u>Martin Luther King Jr., Centre for Social Change, Inc. v. American Heritage Products Inc.</u>	163

B. CANADIAN APPROACH TO MISAPPROPRIATION OF PERSONALITY

I CANADIAN CASE LAW

<u>Krouse v. Chrysler Canada Ltd.</u>	175
<u>Athans v. Canadian Adventure Camps Ltd.</u>	187
<u>Heath v. Weist-Barron School of Television</u>	193

III CONTRASTING CANADIAN & AMERICAN APPROACHES

<u>Carson v. Reynolds</u>	195
<u>Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable Toilets, Inc.</u>	199

C. LOOK ALIKES/SOUND ALIKES

<u>Allen v. National Video, Inc.</u>	208
<u>Midler v. Ford Motor Company</u>	221
"Midler Wins \$400,000 in suit over ad" (1989)	224
"Stars Break New Ground in lawsuits over imitators" (1989)	225
"Legends Last Forever"	226

D. PRIVACY AND PUBLICITY STATUTES

Statutory Rights	
s. 9 of Trademark Act	227
Note: Provincial Privacy Acts	227
<u>Joseph v. Daniels</u>	228
<u>Memphis Development Foundation v. Factors Etc.</u>	232
"Proposed State Law Would Protect Dead Celebrities"	234
Note: See Publicity Statutes and Application Form for Post Mortem Rights in California.	234